SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JULY 25, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S174507 B201035 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 ARDON (ESTUARDO) v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

Woosley, supra, 3 Cal.4th 758, does not apply to a potential taxpayer class claim brought under section 910. In addition, neither the explicit language nor the policy underlying article XIII, section 32 applies to prevent the present action. City of San Jose, supra, 12 Cal.3d 447, is entirely consistent with our conclusion here. Class claims for tax refunds against a local governmental entity are permissible under section 910 in the absence of a specific tax refund procedure set forth in an applicable governing claims statute. Consequently, we reverse the Court of Appeal judgment, and remand the matter for further proceedings consistent with our opinion. Majority Opinion by Chin, J.

- -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Corrigan, and Jackson*, JJ.
- * Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S097363

PEOPLE v. MERRIMAN (JUSTIN JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Glen Niemy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 30, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S117489

PEOPLE v. WINBUSH (GRAYLAND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 30, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S146939

PEOPLE v. CAPERS (LEE SAMUEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to September 23, 2011.

S191934 A125969 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MILLS (AHKIN RAMOND)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the appellant's opening brief on the merits is extended to August 24, 2011.

S192459 C065011 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. RANKIN (GEORGE DAVID)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Elizabeth Campbell is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S193289

B223191 Second Appellate District, Div. 5

PEOPLE v. ROBINSON

(TERRY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, David Andreasen is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S195010

F061067 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BROUSSARD (CURLEY)

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including August 31, 2011. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

G043846

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. CARMONA, JR., (PAUL DAVID)

Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including September 23, 2011. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)